

Making the Case for Complete Streets

Using the Urban Street Design Guide

March 13, 2014





Downtown 1-Way Street Downtown 2-Way Street Downtown Thoroughfare Neighborhood Main Street Neighborhood Street Yield Street Boulevard

What are Street Types?



Access vs. Mobility

Figure II-4

Relationship of functionally Classified Systems in Serving Traffic Mobility and Land Access



Figure II-3 Schematic of a Portion of an Urban Street Network J Մ Legend Arterial street **---** Collector street 2000 Commercial The Public

The National Highway System



Urban Street Types



Context/Land Use

Downtown 1-Way Street Downtown 2-Way Street Downtown Thoroughfare Neighborhood Main Street Neighborhood Street Yield Street Boulevard

Usage Characteristic/Mode

Downtown 1-Way Street Downtown 2-Way Street Downtown Thoroughfare Neighborhood Main Street Neighborhood Street Yield Street Boulevard

Size/Class/Configuration

Downtown 1-Way Street Downtown 2-Way Street Downtown Thoroughfare Neighborhood Main Street Neighborhood Street Yield Street Boulevard

Context is Critical

Street design should both respond to and influence the desired character of the public realm.



SAN FRANCISCO STREETS From the Better Streets Plan

Parkways Park Edge Boulevards Ceremonial (Civic Streets) Commercial Throughways **Downtown Commercial** Downtown Residential Neighborhood Commercial **Residential Throughway** Mixed Use Industrial Shared Public Ways Paseo Alleys



1-way Downtown Street





Credit: NYC DOT

30



Elements Used

- Offset Bus Lanes
- 10-foot lanes
- Protected Bike Lanes
- Pedestrian Safety Islands



Residential Boulevard





Elements Used

- Protected Bike Lanes (Median)
- 10-ft. lanes
- Interim Public Plazas



Credit: NYC DOT

Downtown Thoroughfare Before



Downtown Thoroughfare After



Downtown Thoroughfare Transit Corridor Option









STREET DESIGN ELEMENTS



Lane Width Sidewalks Curb Extensions Gateway Pinchpoint Chicane Bus Bulbs Vertical Speed Control Elements Speed Hump Speed Table Speed Cushion **Transit Streets**

- Dedicated Curbside/Offset Bus Lanes Dedicated Median Bus Lanes
- Dedicated Median Bus Lanes

Contra-Flow Bus Lanes

Bus Stops

- Stormwater Management
 - Bioswales
 - Flow-Through Planters
 - Pervious Strips
 - **Pervious Pavement**

Lane Width



Lane width should be evaluated within the overall assemblage of the street.

Wider travel lanes are correlated with higher vehicle speeds.



Average Lane Width (feet converted from meters)

"As the width of the lane increased, the speed on the roadway increased... When lane widths are 1 m (3.3 ft) greater, speeds are predicted to be 15 km/h (9.4 mph) faster."

Chart source: Fitzpatrick, Kay, Paul Carlson, Marcus Brewer, and Mark Wooldridge. 2000. "Design Factors That Affect Driver Speed on Suburban Streets." *Transportation Research Record* 1751: 18–25. **Regression Line**

85th Percentile Speed of Traffic

Sidewalks: The City at Eye-Level













INTERIM DESIGN STRATEGIES



Activating the curb Parklets Temporary Street Closures Interim Public Plazas



INTERIM DESIGN STRATEGIES

	CONVENTIONAL PROJECT DEVELOPMENT	PHASED/INTERIM DESIGN STRATEGY
Year 1	Concept	Concept
	Plan/Outreach	Plan/Outreach
Year 2		Interim Installation
		Impacts Analysis
Year 3	Design	Design
Year 4		
Year 5	Construction	Construction



Image: SF Better Streets Plan




Pros & Cons

Pros

- Design in real time
- Realize project benefits now
- Evaluate and improve rather than spend then correct
- Build a constituency
- Build more, cheaper, faster

Cons

- Pilot projects can be removed
- Aesthetic quality often lower
- Potential absence of capital funds for improvement.
- Can look shabby if poorly maintained







Credit: University City District

1 Same

No



Credit: Mike King



David Vega-Barachowitz

Director Designing Cities Initiative NACTO

david@nacto.org 646.628.3337



SFMTA Municipal Transportation Agency

Making the Case

May 13, 2013



More Streetscape Projects Citywide

Jane Warner Plaza – 2008



Jane Warner Plaza - 2010





Elements of Streetscape Improvements

curb ramps SFPUC bike lanes dscapin plazas SFPLANNING tormwater management lighting bicycle parking medians parklets sidewalk widen C road diet



Typical SFMTA Project Metrics

- Collisions
- Vehicle Speeds
- Mode Share/Volumes
- Transit Delay
- Intercept Surveys
- ...and more...









Promote the numbers







1. Do these projects affect business?





Intercept Surveys



\$140.00 \$120.00 \$100.00 \$80.00 \$60.00 \$40.00 \$20.00 \$-Bicycle Car Foot Transit

Average Spending per Week



Economic Study

Background:

- Commissioned by SFMTA in 2013
- Conducted by Fall Line Analytics/David Latterman
- Recent NYC Study

Purpose:

- Evaluate Past Projects
- Establish Methodology





Eight Streetscape Projects

D

- Valencia Road Diet 1999
- Polk Street Road Diet 2000
- Lower Polk Streetscape Improvements 2009
- Jane Warner Plaza (Castro Commons) 2010
- Divisadero Streetscape Improvement Project 2010
- Valencia Streetscape Improvement Project 2010
- Leland Avenue Streetscape Project 2010
- Powell Street Promenade 2011



6

13

Data Source: Retail Sales Tax Data

- 8 streetscape projects
 - neighborhoods
 - city
 - comparison streets
 - years (1 before, 3 after)

\$5 billion

worth of tax receipts reviewed!



Key Findings



Seven of the eight study streets performed as well as or better than the surrounding neighborhood for the three years after construction







Key Findings



4.8%

Average growth of retail sales tax receipts on study streets relative to their surrounding neighborhoods



Key Findings



time from project completion before study streets begin to outpace neighborhoods





Valencia Streetscape Project - 2010



Retail Sales Tax Growth Valencia and Comparison Sites 50% Percent change from baseline Valencia Street 40% Construction 30% Mission Street Neighborhood 20% San Francisco 10% 0% 2009 2010 2011 2012



Jane Warner Plaza - 2010



Retail Sales Tax Growth Jane Warner Plaza and Comparison Sites





2. What messages resonate?















Wild Alchemy :: Bikes Belong



ofo

Drivers, Pedestrians, and Bicyclists in California Want Complete Streets

die lieur in an in the

Rebecca L. Sanders, PhD, MCP UC Berkeley Safe Transportation Research & Education Center Transportation Research Board, Session 836 January 15, 2014



Healthier + Wealthier



Riders say they feel better physically and mentally even if they only ride instead of drive every now and then. The added exercise has a multitude of health benefits – better weight, blood pressure, and insulin levels; decreased risk of obesity and breast cancer. The stats bear out that the **health benefits of cycling outweigh the risks by a factor of 20 to one**. It's a social activity. All that, and it can save you and your family a lot of money. It's a simple way to transform your life.



Break Free From Congestion



The rate at which the number of cars on the road is increasing is not sustainable. If we do nothing, we'll have a million more cars in our city in the next 10 years – which will not only affect our roads and commute time, but parking within the city as well. Whether you ride or not, helping more people cycle is critical because it will affect us all sooner than later. Supporting bikes and bike infrastructure is simply better for us all.



See More, Do More



Bicycling gives you a different perspective on your city. Riders say they enjoy seeing more, experiencing more, stopping more to 'smell the roses'. It shrinks the city while simultaneously expanding it (if you bike, you get a better understanding of how to maneuver the city, while seeing things you never would have in a car). It creates a more connected city which is an intangible benefit to biking around town that doesn't often get talked about, but is one of the key reasons bicyclists love it.



3. What about safety?







What We Heard from San Franciscans

San Franciscans told us to prioritize:



The vast majority of all WalkFirst participants want SFMTA to act quickly and implement temporary measures that are cost effective.

In general, San Franciscans want:

- · Locations with seniors, children, and people with disabilities to be prioritized for safety improvements
- · Solutions that recognize the diversity of neighborhoods and have community support
- · Complex intersections to be made safer and less confusing for people who walk



of respondents wanted SFMTA to first fix the intersections and corridors where the most collisions occurred



of respondents think pedestrian safety is getting worse in the City



of respondents would support a ballot measure if it included increased funding for pedestrian safety





EFFECTIVENESS: 68%

of severe/fatal injuries on High Injury Network targeted by WalkFirst Pedestrian Safety CIP



COST: \$50M

for implementation of WalkFirst Pedestrian Safety CIP



TIMEFRAME: Years 1–5

for implementation of WalkFirst Pedestrian Safety CIP



Quick / Cost-Effective Improvements



Advance Stop or Yield Lines / Red Visibility Curbs



Leading Pedestrian Intervals



Continental Crosswalks



Turn Prohibitions



Reduced Lane Widths



Temporary Corner Bulbs & Chokers



Pedestrian Scrambles



Speed Humps



Signal Timing Changes



Protected Left Turns



Temporary Pedestrian Refuge Islands



6th/Howard Before





6th/Howard After




6th/Market Before





6th/Market After

